

Also see below for small sampling of articles about the skeptical International Conference on Climate Change in New York.

[BREAKING: Gallup Poll: 'Record-High 41% of Americans 'now say global warming is exaggerated!' - 'Highest level of public skepticism about mainstream reporting' in more than a decade – March 11, 2009](#)

[Marc Morano Note on Gallup Poll: These dramatic polling results are not unexpected as [prominent scientists from around the world](#) continue to speak out publicly for the [first time](#) to dissent from the Al Gore, UN IPCC and media driven man-made climate fears. In addition, a [steady stream of peer-reviewed studies, analyses, real world data and inconvenient developments](#) have further decimated the claims of man-made global warming fear activists. Americans are finally catching on in large numbers that the UN IPCC is a POLITICAL -- not scientific organization.. Man-made global warming fears have proven simply unsustainable – to use a nice green term.

Man-made climate fears may soon follow the previous failed eco-scares like the disappearing rainforest claims of the 1980's and 1990's. The New York Times recently reported about how the green groups and media promoted fears of the disappearing rainforests from that era may have been severely overblown. ([See Rainforest fears fading away: “For every acre of rainforest cut down each year, more than 50 acres of new forest are growing in the tropics” as once farmed land is returning to nature. Jan. 2009.](#) – The fading rainforest scare inspired this global warming spoof of the year 2019. See: Spoof : [“Scientists Now Say Global Warming Fears Fading Away - Claim Warming Consensus Never Existed”](#)) –

*If new peer-reviewed studies are to be believed, today's high school kids watching Gore's movie will be nearing the senior citizen group AARP's membership age (50 years) by the time warming allegedly “resumes” in 30 years! See: [Climate Fears RIP...for 30 years!?!? – Peer-Reviewed Study Finds Global Warming could stop 'for up to 30 years! Warming 'On Hold?... 'Could go into hiding for decades' study finds – Discovery.com – March 2, 2009](#) – Final quote: Dr. John Brignell, a skeptical UK Emeritus Engineering Professor at the University of Southampton wrote in 2008: “**The warmers are getting more and more like those traditional predictors of the end of the world who, when the event fails to happen on the due date, announce an error in their calculations and a new date.**” End Morano note.]*

#

[BREAKING: Gallup Poll: 'Record-High 41% of Americans 'now say global warming is exaggerated!' - 'Highest level of public skepticism about mainstream reporting' in more than a decade – March 11, 2009](#)

Key Quote: “A record-high 41% now say it is exaggerated. This represents the highest level of public skepticism about mainstream reporting on global warming seen in more than a decade of Gallup polling on the subject. Key Quote: Not only does global warming rank last on the basis of the total percentage concerned either

a great deal or a fair amount, but it is the only issue for which public concern dropped significantly in the past year.”

Key Quote: “However, the solitary drop in concern this year about global warming, among the eight specific environmental issues Gallup tested, suggests that something unique may be happening with the issue.”

Key Quote: “It is not clear whether the troubled economy has drawn attention away from the global warming message or whether other factors are at work.”

Key Quote: “Importantly, Gallup's annual March update on the environment shows a drop in public concern about global warming across several different measures, suggesting that the global warming message may have lost some footing with Americans over the past year.”

More Excerpts: The 2009 Gallup Environment survey measured public concern about eight specific environmental issues. Not only does global warming rank last on the basis of the total percentage concerned either a great deal or a fair amount, but it is the only issue for which public concern dropped significantly in the past year. [...] A record-high 41% now say it is exaggerated. This represents the highest level of public skepticism about mainstream reporting on global warming seen in more than a decade of Gallup polling on the subject. As recently as 2006, significantly more Americans thought the news underestimated the seriousness of global warming than said it exaggerated it, 38% vs. 30%. Now, according to Gallup's 2009 Environment survey, more Americans say the problem is exaggerated rather than underestimated, 41% vs. 28%. The trend in the "exaggerated" response has been somewhat volatile since 2001, and the previous high point, 38%, came in 2004. Over the next two years, "exaggerated" sentiment fell to 31% and 30%. Still, as noted, the current 41% is the highest since Gallup's trend on this measure began in 1997. Since 1997, Republicans have grown increasingly likely to believe media coverage of global warming is exaggerated, and that trend continues in the 2009 survey; however, this year marks a relatively sharp increase among independents as well. In just the past year, Republican doubters grew from 59% to 66%, and independents from 33% to 44%, while the rate among Democrats remained close to 20%. [...] Importantly, Gallup's annual March update on the environment shows a drop in public concern about global warming across several different measures, suggesting that the global warming message may have lost some footing with Americans over the past year. Gallup has documented declines in public concern about the environment at times when other issues, such as a major economic downturn or a national crisis like 9/11, absorbed Americans' attention. To some extent that may be true today, given the troubling state of the U.S. economy. However, the solitary drop in concern this year about global warming, among the eight specific environmental issues Gallup tested, suggests that something unique may be happening with the issue. Certainly global warming has received tremendous attention this decade, including with Al Gore's Academy Award-winning documentary "An Inconvenient Truth." It is not clear whether the troubled economy has drawn attention away from the global warming message or whether other factors are at

work. It will be important to see whether the 2009 findings hold up in next year's update of the annual environmental survey.

Full Gallup Results with charts and graphs:

<http://www.gallup.com/poll/116590/Increased-Number-Think-Global-Warming-Exaggerated.aspx>

#

Below is a very small sampling of recent inconvenient climate developments and news from the scientists who participated in Heartland's International Conference on Climate Change in New York City this week..

["We will win this debate for we are right and they are wrong". MIT climate scientist Dr Richard Lindzen – March 9, 2009 – Australia's Quradant.org](#)
[Czech President Vaclav Klaus: "Today's debate about global warming is essentially a debate about freedom. The environmentalists would like to mastermind each and every possible \(and impossible\) aspect of our lives." – March 9, 2009 – Australia's Quradant.org](#)

[NYT: Geologist warns we are in 'decades-long global cooling spell' - March 11, 2009](#)

[NASA warming scientist 'suffering from a bad case of megalomania' -- former supervisor says - March 11, 2009 – Business & Media Institute](#)

Excerpt: John Theon, a retired senior NASA atmospheric scientist said he believed so. Theon an audience at The Heartland Institute's 2009 International Conference on Climate Change (ICCC) in New York on March 11 that the head of NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies, James Hansen, should be fired. Hansen is widely known for his outspokenness on the issue of manmade global warming. "I have publicly said I thought Jim Hansen should be fired," Theon said. "But, my opinion doesn't count much, particularly when he is empowered by people like the current president of the United States. I'm not sure what we can do to have him get off of the public payroll and continue with the campaign or crusade. I think the man is sincere, but he is suffering from a bad case of megalomania."

[NASA warming scientist 'suffering from a bad case of megalomania' -- former supervisor says - March 11, 2009 – Business & Media Institute](#)

Excerpt: In 2001, Hansen received a \$250,000 Heinz Environment Award for his research on global warming, an award named for deceased Sen. John Heinz, R-Pa. His widow, Teresa Heinz Kerry is now married to Sen. John Kerry, D-Mass., who ran for president in 2004. Hansen later publicly endorsed Kerry for the presidency and according to Theon, that's a problem for Hansen. "Yes, that is absolutely illegal," Theon said. "There is a law called the Hatch Act, which prevents any civil servant, including Jim Hansen from endorsing any political cause publicly and he certainly did that. That alone is grounds for firing, and if not imprisonment or fine." But Hansen has avoided retribution for violation of the Hatch Act, a law that restricts the political activity of

executive branch employees of the federal government, because he is well-connected, according to Theon. "People have complained to me, the inspector general of NASA, and they say because of Hansen's very powerful political connections, it has had no effect to date," he said. Theon explained that Hansen rose to prominence in the global warming debate when he was invited by former Vice President Al Gore to testify before Congress when Gore was a member of the U.S. Senate.

[U.S. Government Meteorologist Claims 'Gross, Blatant Censorship' for Speaking Out Against Climate Alarmism – Business & Media Institute - March 9, 2009](#)

By Stanley Goldenberg, a meteorologist with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory (AOML) Hurricane Research Division

Excerpt: The debate, as you also know, is masked by media censorship, bias and distortion," Goldenberg said. "I'm interviewed quite bit on many, many levels and thankfully most of our interviews are benign. They're trying to get out to the public." Goldenberg explained that as a skeptic of the anthropogenic global warming theory, he had firsthand experience, and he challenged the media to give a positive spin to the IPCC event.

"[I]’ve seen gross, gross blatant censorship," Goldenberg said. "If you're here from the media I'd be glad to argue with you from firsthand experience. I challenge anybody from a mainstream media source to take or print a positive report on this conference. They won't get it past the editor. If they do, miracles do happen." Goldenberg is known for his research on how hurricane activity variability can be influenced on anything from intraseasonal to multi-decadal time scales. He disputes the theory hurricanes are significantly impacted by global warming. And he claimed his colleagues at other hurricane research institutions dispute it as well. "Not a single, to my knowledge – not a single scientist at the National Hurricane Center, the Hurricane Research Division, the Joint Typhoon Warning Center – I'm trying to find out about the Central Pacific Hurricane Center – believe that hurricanes – numbers, activity – that there's any measurable impact from any so-called global warming."

[Recession byproduct - a cut in emissions - March 11, 2009 – Boston Globe](#)

Excerpt: New figures being released today show the recession helped drive down global warming emissions from Northeast power plants last year to their lowest levels in at least nine years. [...] The drop in emissions may be good for the environment, but was not seen as reason for celebration. "What does this say about the state of the economy?" said Robert Rio, senior vice president of Associated Industries of Massachusetts "We could get 100 percent below the cap if we shut every business and moved them out of state."

[NASA Moonwalker & Geologist Harrison Schmitt: Climate change alarmists 'intentionally mislead' – March 11, 2009 – Business & Media Institute](#)

Excerpt: Last month Apollo 17 astronaut and moonwalker Harrison Schmitt added his voice to the growing chorus of scientists speaking out against the anthropogenic [manmade] global warming (AGW) theory. In strongly worded comments he said the theory was a 'political tool.' Now, in a speech at the International Conference on Climate Change he outlined his argument in great detail saying, "the science of climate

change and its causes is not settled.” Schmitt recalled as a child in Silver City, New Mexico helping his father, also a geologist, take rain measurements. Those early experiments spurred the former astronaut’s interest in earth sciences at an early age. He recalled how later in life, while on the surface of the moon, he made weather forecasts for the southern hemisphere of the earth. In wide ranging commentary, Dr. Schmitt made a point by point argument against many of the things that global warming advocates point to in support of the theory. In a similar vein to his comments last month, he continued to admonish scientists and politicians that have politicized the issue and said those that disagree do have a battle ahead of them. [...] He said, “Several indisputable facts appear evident in geological and climate science that make me a true, quote, denier, unquote of human caused global warming. The conclusion seems inescapable that nature produces the primary influences on climate.”

[Global-Warming Skeptics Raise A Storm In New York – Radio Free Europe – March 10, 2009](#)

[NY Times Columnist Tom Friedman: Man-Made Global Warming Skeptics are 'crazy radicals' - March 10, 2009 - The Salt Lake Tribune](#)

[EPA May Require Factories to Report Warming Emissions – Bloomberg News – March 10, 2009](#)

Excerpt: Chemical, steel, automobile and other energy-intensive factories would have to submit annual reports to the federal government on their greenhouse gas emissions under a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency proposal that lays a foundation for fighting global warming. About 13,000 facilities that account for as much as 90 percent of greenhouse gas emissions would have to comply, the EPA said in a statement today.

[Accelerated sea-level rise claims a ‘falsification’ of data?- March 11, 2009](#)

Excerpt: I mentioned a few days ago a report that sea levels are rising faster than predicted by the IPCC. There is another such report just out here. Below is an email on the subject from Professor Dr. Nils-Axel Morner [morner@pog.nu], a leading world authority on sea levels and coastal erosion who headed the Department of Paleogeophysics & Geodynamics at Stockholm University – “Misused data! Satellite altimetry 1993-2000 was horizontal - the same data set + 2000-2003 was tilted. On top of the physical corrections (giving horizontallity) they added "personal correction" to fit IPCCs claims. What do we call this? If not falsification? See my scientific papers.”

[A freezing legacy for our children – March 11, 2009](#)

By James A. Marusek, Nuclear Physicist and Engineer, retired U. S. Department of Navy

Excerpt: There is a lot of talk these days about the legacy we will leave our children and our grandchildren. When I stare into the immediate future, I see a frightening legacy caked in darkness and famine. Instead of intelligently preparing, we find ourselves whittling away this precious time chasing fraudulent theories. [...] Climate change is primarily driven by nature. It has been true in the days of my father and his father and all those that came before us. Because of science, not junk science, we have slowly uncovered some of the fundamental mysteries of nature.

[New Study: THE EVIDENCE IS THAT THE OCEAN IS COOLING, NOT WARMING – March 10, 2009](#)

Excerpt: Cooling of the global ocean since 2003 - By Craig Loehle, Ph.D. National Council for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc. (NCASI)

ABSTRACT: Ocean heat content data from 2003 to 2008 (4.5 years) were evaluated for trend. A trend plus periodic (annual cycle) model fit with $R^2 = 0.85$. The linear component of the model showed a trend of -0.35 (~ 0.2) $\times 10^{22}$ Joules per year. The result is consistent with other data showing a lack of warming over the past few years.

[The crumbling case for global warming – National Post - March 10, 2009](#)

[Has the canary in the coal mine died--of frostbite? - March 10, 2009](#)

Nenana Ice Classic: Alaska River Ice now 60% thicker than it was five years ago
Flashback: "The Nenana Ice Classic is a pretty good proxy for climate change in the 20th century," Dr. Jeffries said.

[UK Telegraph asks: "Why does the BBC treat us like morons over climate change?" – March 11, 2009](#)

Excerpt: Does the BBC have a shred of credibility left in its coverage of global warming? [...] The BBC has bought hook, line and sinker the most apocalyptic assessment of the impact of global warming and is not going to allow a more informed and grown-up debate to take place. Is that what we want from a public service broadcaster?

[Scientist: Anti-CO2 Campaign Like An Atom Bomb On U.S. Economy - Investor's Business Daily – March 9, 2009](#)

By Atmospheric physicist S. Fred Singer, Professor Emeritus of Environmental Sciences at the University of Virginia and served as the founding director of the US Weather Satellite Service.

We conclude therefore that the drive to reduce CO2 emissions is not concern about climate.[...] Ultimately, ideology may be what's fueling the CO2 wars.

[Marc Morano On Global Warming Politics – ChillingEffect.org – March 9, 2009](#)

Excerpt: Morano points out that global warming alarmists are now pushing their predictions out 30 to 1,000 years because the actual climate isn't following the dire computer model predictions

[Climate Change Skeptics, Unite: Heartland Institute's 'Other' Global Warming Gabfest – Wall Street Journal – March 9, 2009](#)

[Climate 'denial' is now a mental disorder – UK Telegraph – Christopher Booker - March, 2009](#)

Excerpt: At the University of the West of England in Bristol this weekend, a conference of "eco-psychologists", led by a professor, are solemnly exploring the notion that "climate change denial" should be classified as a form of "mental disorder".

[Dueling Climate Meetings Aim to Steer Policy – Washington Post – March 11, 2009](#)

Excerpt: According to session [summaries](#), presenters discussed the "potential policy disasters flowing from global warming alarmists" and declared that resisting the mainstream scientific finding that climate change is a real and potentially significant threat, is really a fight against "energy rationing."

[Left-wing columnist Alexander Cockburn a climate skeptic – John Fund – March 11, 2009](#)

Excerpt: My most memorable exchange was with Alexander Cockburn, the left-wing columnist for the Los Angeles Times and the Nation magazine. Mr. Cockburn has undergone blistering attacks since he first dissented from the global warming "consensus" in 2007. "I've felt like the object of a witch hunt," he says. "One former Sierra Club board member suggested I should be criminally prosecuted." Mr. Cockburn was at the conference collecting material for his forthcoming book "A Short History of Fear," in which he will explore the link between fear-mongering and climate catastrophe proponents. "No one on the left is comfortable talking about science," he told me. "They don't feel they can easily get their arms around it, so they don't think about it much. As a result, they are prone to any peddler of ideas that reinforce their pre-existing prejudices. One would be that there is a population explosion that must be dealt with by slowing down economies." I asked him how he felt hanging around with so many people who have a more conservative viewpoint than he does. "It's been good fun and I've learned a lot," he told me. "I think what they are saying on this topic is looking better and better."