Manmade climate disruption – the hype and reality

Distractions, agendas, false realities, and policies that bring much harm but few benefits

Paul Driessen

The White House has released its latest National Climate Assessment. An 829-page report and 127-page "summary" were quickly followed by press releases, television appearances, interviews and photo ops with tornado victims – all to underscore President Obama's central claims:

Human-induced climate change, "once considered an issue for the distant future, has moved firmly into the present." It is "affecting Americans right now," disrupting their lives. The effects of "are already being felt in every corner of the United States." Corn producers in Iowa, oyster growers in Washington, maple syrup producers in Vermont, crop-growth cycles in Great Plains states "are all observing climate-related changes that are outside of recent experience." Extreme weather events "have become more frequent and/or intense."

It's pretty scary sounding. It has to be. First, it is designed to **distract** us from topics that the President and Democrats do not want to talk about: ObamaCare, the IRS scandals, Benghazi, a host of foreign policy failures, still horrid jobless and workforce participation rates, and an abysmal 0.1% first quarter GDP growth rate that hearkens back to the Great Depression.

Second, fear-inducing "climate disruption" claims are needed to **justify** job-killing, economy-choking policies like the endless delays on the Keystone XL pipeline; still more wind, solar and ethanol mandates, tax breaks and subsidies; and regulatory compliance costs that have reached <u>\$1.9 trillion</u> per year – nearly one-eighth of the entire US economy.

Third, scary hyperventilating serves to **obscure** important realities about Earth's weather and climate, and even in the NCA report itself. Although atmospheric carbon dioxide levels have been rising steadily for decades, contrary to White House claims, average planetary *temperatures have not budged for 17 years*.

No Category 3-5 hurricane has made landfall in the United States since 2005, the longest such period since at least 1900. Even with the recent Midwestern twisters, US tornado frequency remains very low, and property damage and loss of life from tornadoes have decreased over the past six decades.

Sea levels are rising at a mere <u>seven inches per century</u>. Antarctic sea ice recently reached a <u>new record</u> <u>high</u>. A new report says natural forces could account for as much as <u>half of Arctic warming</u>, and warming and cooling periods have alternated <u>for centuries</u> in the Arctic. Even in early May this year, some 30% of Lake Superior was still ice-covered, which appears to be unprecedented in historical records. Topping it off, a warmer planet and rising CO2 levels improve forest, grassland and crop growth, greening the planet.

Press releases on the NCA report say global temperatures, heat waves, sea levels, storms, droughts and was done by *computer models* – which are based on the false assumption that carbon dioxide now drives climate change, and that powerful natural forces no longer play a role. The models have never been able to predict global temperatures accurately, and the divergence between model predictions and actual measured temperatures gets worse with every passing year. The models cannot even "hindcast" temperatures over the *past* quarter century, without using fudge factors and other clever tricks.

Moreover, much of the White House and media spin contradicts what the NCA report actually says. For example, it concludes that "there has been no universal trend in the overall extent of drought across the continental U.S. since 1900." Other trends in severe storms, it states, "are uncertain."

Climate change, Johnstown Floods, Dust Bowls, extreme weather events and forest fires have been part of Earth and human history forever – and no amount of White House spin can alter that fact. To suggest that any changes in weather or climate – or any temporary increases in extreme weather events – are due to humans is patently absurd. To ignore positive trends and the 17-year absence of warming is abominable.

Fourth, sticking to the "manmade climate disaster" script is essential to **protect** the turf, reputations, funding and power of climate alarmists and government bureaucrats. The federal government doles out some <u>\$2.6 billion annually</u> in grants for climate research – but only for work that reflects White House perspectives. Billions more support subsidies and loans for renewable energy programs that represent major revenue streams for companies large and small, and part of that money ends up in campaign war chests for (mostly Democrat) legislators who support the climate regulatory-industrial complex.

None of them is likely to admit any doubts, alter any claims or policies, or reduce their increasingly vitriolic attacks on skeptics of "dangerous manmade global warming." They do not want to risk being exposed as false prophets and charlatans, or worse. *Follow the money*.

Last, and most important, climate disruption claims **drive** a regulatory agenda that few Americans support. Presidential candidate Obama said his goal was "fundamentally transforming" the United States and ensuring that electricity rates "necessarily skyrocket." On climate change, President Obama has made it clear that he "can't wait for an increasingly dysfunctional Congress to do its job. Where they won't act, I will." His Environmental Protection Agency, Department of the Interior, Department of Energy and other officials have steadfastly implemented his anti-hydrocarbon policies.

Chief Obama science advisor John Holdren famously said: "A massive campaign must be launched to ... *de-develop* the United States ... bringing our economic system (especially patterns of consumption) into line with the realities of ecology and the global resource situation.... [Economists] must design a stable, low-consumption economy in which there is a much more equitable [re]distribution of wealth."

(The President also wants to ensure that neither a Keystone pipeline approval nor a toned-down climate agenda scuttles billionaire Tom Steyer's \$100-million contribution to Democrat congressional candidates.)

This agenda translates into greater government control over energy production and use, job creation and economic growth, and people's lives, livelihoods, living standards, liberties, health and welfare. It means fewer opportunities and lower standards of living for poor and middle class working Americans. It means greater power and control for politicians, bureaucrats, activists and judges – but with little or no accountability for mistakes made, damage done or penalties deliberately exacted on innocent people.

A strong economy, modern technologies, and abundant, reliable, affordable energy are absolutely essential if we are to adapt to future climate changes, whatever their cause – and survive the heat waves, cold winters, floods, droughts and vicious weather events that will most certainly continue coming.

The Obama agenda will reduce our capacity to adapt, survive and thrive. It will leave more millions jobless, and reduce the ability of families to heat and cool their homes properly, assure nutritious meals, pay their rent or mortgage, and pursue their American dreams.

America's minority and blue collar families will suffer – while Washington, DC power brokers and lobbyists will continue to enjoy standards of living, housing booms and luxury cars unknown in the nation's heartland. Think *Hunger Games* or the Politburo and *nomenklatura* of Soviet Russia.

Worst, it will all be **for nothing**, even if carbon dioxide does exert a stronger influence on Earth's climate than actual evidence suggests. While the United States slashes its hydrocarbon use, job creation, economic growth and international competitiveness, China, India, Brazil, Indonesia – and Spain, Germany, France and Great Britain – are all increasing their coal use ... and CO2 emissions.

President Obama and White House advisor John Podesta are convinced that Congress and the American people have no power or ability to derail the Administration's determination to unilaterally impose costly policies to combat "dangerous manmade climate disruption" – and that the courts will do nothing to curb their executive orders, regulatory fiats and economic disruption.

If they are right, we are in for some very rough times – and it becomes even more critical that voters <u>learn</u> the facts and eject Harry Reid and his Senate majority, to restore some semblance of checks and balances.

Paul Driessen is senior policy analyst for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (<u>www.CFACT.org</u>) and author of *Eco-Imperialism: Green power - Black death*.