

# UN Infects Science with Cancer of Global Warming

Edward F Blick PhD\*

Oct. 3, 2008

## Summary

United Nations politicians, while admitting their lack of evidence, gave birth and nurtured the fraud of Anthropogenic Global Warming (APG). Their Malthusian purpose is to frighten people into accepting the UN as the “centerpiece of democratic global governance” and let the UN, ration our fossil fuel. World temperature records show no evidence of AGW (Fig. 1A). Solar activity in the 20<sup>th</sup> century was extremely high. Atmospheric CO2 levels rose as the sea surface warmed. Henry’s Solubility Law, coupled with mass balances of carbon and its isotopes, prove the total increase in atmospheric CO2 from pre-industrial times is less than 4%. Burning all our remaining fossil fuels, cannot double the CO2, but only increase it by 20%. Beck (2007 cataloged 90,000 chemical measurements of CO2 in the 1800s, some as high as 470 ppm. (Greater than the current Mauna Loa value of 385 ppm). These data exposed as false, the UN IPCC’s 280 ppm ice core values, supposedly measured during the 1800s. IPCC’s ice core measurements of CO2 were incorrect due to their inability to correct for problems with gas solubility and the extreme pressures in glaciers. Not man, but nature rules the climate.

## Introduction

The recent American Physical Society (APS) debate on anthropogenic global warming was welcomed by many like myself, who believe it be a hoax and the political agenda for the Democratic Party and their environmental extremist supporters. I’ve never seen any convincing evidence for it. The paper by Hafemeister and Schwartz was a side show, in that it just repeated some of the basic IPCC dogma. The considerable evidence presented by Viscount Christopher Monckton of Brenchley in his APS article “Climate Sensitivity Reconsidered” was convincing. The rebuttal by Dr. Smith was not.

An important part of the APS global warming debate that should be covered is; 1) The credibility of the UN politicians who started this hoax, and

\* 54 years experience. Author of 150 publications in engineering, meteorology & medicine (cardiology), and two engineering textbooks. Co-developer of a medical blood pressure patent. Inventor of the Strouhal Strummer windmill .

2) The truth about the minimal increase in the amount of anthropogenic atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> . Hopefully this paper will address these issues and assist in convincing readers that AGW is a hoax, religion, or junk science, and is worthless in its predictions of global warming.

## **The UN**

The source of this hoax is important. Everyone should be aware that the AGW hoax was initiated and nurtured by the corrupt politicians of the United Nations, the same people who colluded with Saddam Hussein to skim billions of dollars in their Oil for Food scheme! Being forewarned is forearmed! AGW is environmental extremism. Dr. Tim Ball the distinguished former climatology professor at the University of Winnipeg has stated it is “possibly the greatest deception in human history”. The aim of the environmental extremists is to destroy the industry of the West. Many of the converts are misanthropic fanatics. Man is bad....polar bears are good! Limit the number of people on the Earth!

Socialist Maurice Strong is the man behind curtains in the United Nations pulling the levers to use MMGW as the vehicle to shift powers away from individuals and sovereign nations to a small band of unaccountable international elites. He has been the UN High Priest of environmentalism going as far back as UN Secretary General, U Thant (a Burmese Marxist). Maurice Strong has been mixed up in many UN scandals including the notorious Iraqi “Oil for Food” program. In 1997, while serving as advisor to Kofi Annan he reportedly took a check for almost \$1 million from Saddam Hussein’s UN-sanctioned regime. Strong is an enemy of our way of life. He is the mentor of Al Gore.

Strong and the UN set up the 1992 Rio de Janeiro conference entitled “The Earth Summit”. It was attended by Vice President Al Gore. At this Conference Strong stated, “The Earth Summit will play an important role in reforming and strengthening the UN as the centerpiece of the emerging system of democratic global governance, i.e. a one-world government run by the UN.

Al Gore is a politician, not a scientist. He dropped out of Vanderbilt’s divinity school. He had two college natural science courses. He made a “D”

in one, and a “C+” in the other. He made an “F” on his College Board physics exam and a “D” in chemistry. I doubt a non-scientist like Gore could have written his two cleverly crafted science-fiction books. Gore ducks all challenges to debate (including Christopher Monckton) on AGW.

Strong and the UN set up the 1997 Kyoto conference on global warming. All countries were urged to sign a treaty to reduce their CO2 output in order to save the planet. China, India and the U.S. refused. Most of Europe joined, but have done little in the way of lowering their CO2 output. The National Review magazine, Sept. 1, 1997 quoted Strong, “**The only way of saving the world may be for industrial civilization to collapse, deliberately seek poverty, and set levels of mortality**”. We’re starting to see the collapse of U.S. trucking and airline industry due to our government limiting oil drilling. Timothy Wirth, former president of the United Nation’s Foundation stated, “**We have to ride the theory of Global Warming even if it is wrong**.” Richard Benedict, former advisor to Kofi Annan stated, “**A global warming treaty must be implemented even if there is no evidence of global warming**.” These guys know AGW is not true, and are deceiving the world by saying they are saving our planet. “The urge to save humanity is almost always a false front for the urge to rule”. (H.L. Mencken)

In 1988, the corrupt UN politicians set up a phony scientific panel called the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Control (IPCC). Its purpose was to frighten people of AGW, and have them beg for a government solution. There was no scientific evidence then or now of any significant AGW, so they had to create a masterful lie. **Sir John Houghton, the first chairman of the UN’s IPCC stated, “Unless we announce disaster, no one will listen”!** Here is how they succeeded. As a smoke screen, qualified experts in science and climatology were hired to investigate if man has effected the warming of the earth. Here is the summary, the scientists wrote for the 1995 IPCC Draft Report:

- 1) **None of the studies have shown any clear evidence of climate changes due to greenhouse gases.**
- 2) **No study has positively attributed any climate change to anthropogenic causes.**
- 3) **Any claims of positive detection of significant climate change are likely to remain controversial until uncertainties in the total natural variability of the climate are reduced.**

This was not what the UN wanted! They removed all three of the quotes of the scientists, and UN politicians inserted the following **Bold Face Lie** in the final 1995 Summary Report for lawmakers:

**The balance of evidence suggests a discernible human influence on global climate.**

Many of the IPCC scientists quit, and threatened the UN with a lawsuit in order to have their names removed from the IPCC final report.

The UN political appointees have adopted a strange and unusual way of writing their IPCC reports. They first publish a “Summary Report for Lawmakers”. Then several months later they publish the Scientific Report so as to assure its consistency with the previous Summary Report. This is political indoctrination, not science! I’ve never known of a science project where the summary is written first, and then the scientific work is completed and reported later. After the 1995 IPCC report, the lies, deceit and sleight of hand were repeated in 2001 and 2007 IPCC reports.

The hottest years of the 20<sup>th</sup> century were in the 1930s (Fig.1). Twenty-four states had their high temperature records set in the 1930s. Only seventeen had their temperature records set in last fifty years of the 20<sup>th</sup> century! Where is the fingerprint of “mmgw”? Looking at these data, how can any reasonable person believe it?

During the 20<sup>th</sup> century the Earth warmed up about 0.6 °C. The warming correlated with the great increase in solar activity (Fig.2). Similarly, astronomers discovered that Jupiter, Mars, Saturn, Neptune and Pluto all warmed up in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. (Archibald, 2008). Since 1998, global warming has taken a vacation. We’ve had global cooling from reduced sun spot activity (Archibald, 2008).

The oceans “breathe” carbon dioxide in and out with cooling or heating. CO<sub>2</sub> is less soluble in water as it warms and more soluble as it cools. The warming during the 20<sup>th</sup> century caused the oceans to emit more CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere (Endersbee, 2008, Fig. 4). This is similar to CO<sub>2</sub> rising out of a glass of soda pop as it warms.

A miniscule amount of global heating of  $\frac{1}{2} \text{ W/m}^2$  is due to an increase of 2% to 4% of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, due to the burning fossil fuels since the late 1800s. (Segalstad, 1996). This corresponds to a tiny  $0.05^\circ \text{C}$  rise in temperature, using the climate sensitivity parameter of  $0.1^\circ \text{C}$  per  $\text{W/m}^2$  (Kiehl and Trenberth, 1997). This climate sensitivity parameter of  $0.1^\circ \text{C}$  per  $\text{W/m}^2$ , agrees with eight natural experiments (Idso 1998). UN Climate models use sensitivity values 5-10 times higher than  $0.1^\circ \text{C}$  per  $\text{W/m}^2$ , which results in exaggerated predictions of future global temperatures!

Archer (2008) assumed a rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> of 380 to 420 pp, in the next 20 years. Using the University of Chicago's MODTRAN facility, he obtained a  $0.4 \text{ W/m}^2$  increase in global warming. Using Idso's  $0.1^\circ \text{C}$  per  $\text{W/m}^2$  sensitivity value, he predicted a  $0.04^\circ \text{C}$  increase in temperature due to CO<sub>2</sub> green house effect.

In 1995, the UN IPCC report included a global temperature anomaly chart shown in Fig.3. This chart agreed with hundreds of scientific papers which dwelt with this Medieval Warm Period and the Little Ice Age which followed. From about 900 to 1350 AD, the earth was approximately  $2^\circ \text{C}$  warmer than now. The Vikings colonized Greenland, and many of the great cathedrals were built in Europe. The Chinese navy sailed in the arctic with little sign of ice. The Little Ice Age which followed lasted about 400 years (Soon & Baliunas, 2003). The bodies of the Viking colonists are now buried under Greenland's permafrost. Yet, six years after this correct chart (Fig.3), the UN in their 2001 IPCC report did an about face, and published a new radically different "hockey stick" chart (Fig. 5). It showed essentially a flat temperature for the 1000 years prior to the 20<sup>th</sup> century, followed by a rapid rise of earth's temperature in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The UN blamed the rise on AGW. The man who conjured up this false chart was accused of "cherry picking" the data. The UN's hockey stick was broken in 2007 after scores of reputable scientists protested against this fraudulent "hockey stick" chart. Two scientists McIntyre and McKittrick using 1000 years of proxy data uncovered the Hockey Stick Lie. Hearings were held in Congress and the National Academy of Sciences. The hockey stick chart was labeled fraudulent, and designed to deceive the public and lawmakers.

The green movement to limit or eliminate the burning of fossil fuels eagerly seized upon this AGW hoax, perpetuated by UN politicians. As of now one of our political parties has joined hands with the environmental extremists and refuses to allow for oil & gas drilling in promising areas all in the name of controlling carbon. MIT Prof. Dr. Richard Lindzen, (one of our top

climate scientists) was an original member of the IPCC team (before he discovered the IPCC was a fraud, and qui). Lindzen stated, “Controlling carbon is a bureaucrat’s dream. If you control carbon you control life!”

## **CO2 : Gas of Life**

CO2 is not a pollutant. It is the gas of life for plants, man, and animals. All plant life is sustained by photosynthesis, where CO2 plus water plus the Sun’s energy form carbohydrates plus Oxygen. Humans and animals breathe in oxygen and exhale CO2. It sounds like an intelligent design!

If atmospheric CO2 drops to the 220 ppm, plants get sick. They die at 160 ppm. In a field of corn on a sunny day, unless wind currents stir up the air, all of the CO2 is consumed within one meter of the ground in 5 minutes. (Personal communication, Daryl Smika, Plant Physiologist, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture), In order to increase their yield, commercial greenhouse owners increase the CO2 levels to 600 - 1000 ppm. According to the Mauna Loa observatory the present atmospheric CO2 is about 385 ppm (parts per million.), but in times past it was as high as 2450 ppm. (Jaworoski, 1992a, 1992b).

The most important greenhouse gas is water vapor. Its mass is 54 times greater than CO2. “The first 30 feet of water vapor absorbs 80% of the earth’s heat radiation. **You can go outside and spit and have the effect as doubling CO2!**” (Dr. Reid Bryson, former Director of Meteorology, University of Wisconsin.)

150 years ago, the atmospheric CO2 contained 700 Gt of carbon (1 Gt = 1 billion tons), and the earth contained 7000 Gt of carbon in the form of fossil fuels. It is estimated that man has burned 1000 Gt of the original 7000 Gt. (Segalstad 1998). For water, at normal temperature, Henry’s Law of Solubility dictates there will be 50 parts of CO2 in solution, for one part of gaseous CO2 above the water. Experimental measurements have shown that the residence time of CO2 in the atmosphere is about 5 years. The corrupt UN politicians (without any proof) say it is 50-200 years. Hence today, after 150 years, the amount of CO2 added by man to the atmosphere is  $(1/50) \times 1000 = 20$  Gt, and the increase in atmospheric CO2 is  $(700+20)/700 = 1.03$  or a 3% increase!! (Segalstad, 1998). The UN, using junk science, and mysterious fudge-factors, said the increase is 21%. Where is their proof?

Segalstad (1998) developed an alternative method of determining how much of the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is due to fossil fuels by an isotopic mass balance of Carbon 12, C-12, and the heavier isotope Carbon 13, C-13. During photosynthesis more of the C-12 is absorbed by the plant than C-13. Ratios between C-12 and C-13 stable isotopes are commonly expressed as in permil by a so-called delta-13-C notation multiplied by 1000. CO<sub>2</sub> from combustion of fossil fuel have delta -13-C values of (-26 permil). Natural CO<sub>2</sub> has a delta-13-C value of (-7 permil). Keeling (1989) reported a 1988-measured atmospheric delta-13-C value of (-7.807permil). Using a simple isotopic mass balance equation of  $[26X + 7(1-X) = 7.807]$  produces an X value of 0.042. Hence the earth's atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is made up of approximately 4% CO<sub>2</sub> from the burning of fossil fuels. This is close to the 3% computed above by the alternate mass consumed method of Segalstad. Revelle & Suess (1957) using Carbon-14 data computed the amount of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> derived from fossil fuel combustion was 1.2 to 1.73 %. UN IPCC reports assumes at present, 21% of CO<sub>2</sub> is from fossil fuel burning!

Using Henry's Law, and assuming all the remaining 6000 Gt of carbon in our fossil fuel reserves has been burned, the increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> will be  $[(700 + (7000/50))/700 = 1.2]$ , a 20 % increase over what the atmosphere contained back in the mid nineteenth century! (Segalstad, 1998) The corrupt UN with their junk science predicts a 170% increase. Even burning all fossil fuels (7000 Gt of carbon) will have no meaningful effect on global climate. CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere cannot increase more than 20%. It cannot double!

The Earth receives about 1368 W/m<sup>2</sup> of radiative heat from the sun. The total amount of heat withheld is about 146 W/m<sup>2</sup>, +/- 5 to 10 W/m<sup>2</sup> due to natural climatic variations. Clouds can reflect up to 50 W/m<sup>2</sup> and can absorb up to 30 W/m<sup>2</sup> of the solar radiation. Less than 1/2 W/m<sup>2</sup> is produced by anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, making it much smaller than the Earth's average "Greenhouse effect (water vapor, etc), which varies naturally within 96 to 176 W/m<sup>2</sup>. (Segalstad, 2006)

The total internal energy of the whole ocean is  $3.3 \times 10^{27}$  Joules, about 3500 times greater than the total energy of the entire atmosphere,  $9.4 \times 10^{23}$  joules. The global climate is primarily governed by the enormous heat energy stored in the oceans and the latent heat of melting of the ice caps. From a thermodynamic heat balance, the small amounts of heat generated by

anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> could not possibly cause significant increases in sea level. (Segalstad, 1995)

1400 years of study found approximately 10 inches of difference in sea level between the thermal expansions the **Medieval Warm Period** and thermal contractions of the **Little Ice Age**. . (van de Plassche)

One of the biggest global temperature drops ever recorded, occurred from January 2007-08. (Fig. 6) The drop in temperature was about equal to the net gain in average temperature for the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Figure 7 -- Does the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> correlate with temperature? It should if AGW were correct. But Figure 7 shows it does not always correlate. Fig. 4 shows CO<sub>2</sub> does correlates very well with sea surface temperature.

Figure 8 --The long temperature record at Armagh, Ireland shows a strong correlation of temperature with Sunspot Cycle Length. The longer the sunspot cycle the colder the temperature. Presently we are in Solar cycle 23 which is 12 ½ years long and Archibald (2008) predicts it will last to 13 ½ years. Solar weathermen here and in Russia are predicting globally 20-30 years of cold weather, after this Solar Cycle 23!

Figure 9—High temperature records from all the continents and Oceania indicate that all except one high temperature record occurred before 1943! This is bad news for the proponents of AGW.

Figure 10—More bad news for the UN IPCC crowd....Ernst-Georg Beck's (2007) paper plotted 90,000 accurate chemical analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> in air. These standard textbook measurements from 380 scientific papers had an accuracy of better than 3%. Several scientists who won the Nobel Prize made these measurements. Beck's CO<sub>2</sub> peaks (~370-450 ppm) occurred around 1823, 1859 and 1944. Historically there were no reported SUVs or coal-fired electric generating plants during 1823-1859!

Figure 11 --- A comparison of Beck's CO<sub>2</sub> data versus Neftel's ice core data show a wide difference. The IPCC dogma is a Hockey Stick curve with CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 280 ppm existed from the beginning of the time to the late 1800s. Then man increased the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, due his burning of fossil fuels. Beck criticized Callendar and Keeling the men who crafted the flat portion of the Hockey Stick CO<sub>2</sub> chart. Beck essentially said that "cherry picking" was involved, in that any CO<sub>2</sub> measurements were



rejected if they did not fit the hypothesis of anthropogenic climate warming. The hypothesis being CO<sub>2</sub> was 280 ppm for anytime prior to late 1800's! Beck also stated that Callandar and Keeling only examined 10% of the available literature.

Zbigniew Jaworowski, M.D., Ph.D., D.S.C. (2007) is a CO<sub>2</sub> glaciologist. He has studied glaciers all over the world. He has published many papers on climate, most of them concerning CO<sub>2</sub> measurements in ice cores. He strongly believes the CO<sub>2</sub> measurements used in the UN IPCC reports have been corrupted, and are false.

He pointed out, "Drilling ice cores is a brutal system and a polluting procedure, drastically disturbing the ice samples." He also states that ice cores cannot be regarded as a closed system and used to measure CO<sub>2</sub> levels of air trapped in ice. He stated there are "more than 20 physical-chemical processes operating *in situ* ...in the ice cores.....In cold water, CO<sub>2</sub> is more than 70 times more soluble than nitrogen and more than 30 times more than oxygen." Liquid water is commonly present in the polar snow and ice even at the eutectic temperature of  $-73^{\circ}\text{C}$ ." This phenomenon alone will reduce the percentage of CO<sub>2</sub> in the air bubbles trapped in ice. The Knudsen effect, combined with inward diffusion, depletes CO<sub>2</sub> in ice cores exposed to drastic pressure changes (up to 300 bars, for ice buried in glaciers). The effects of increased solubility and extreme pressures, could explain the difference between chemical CO<sub>2</sub> and ice core measurements in Beck's Figure 11.

Jaworowski noted that these effects were discovered, "Only recently, many years after the ice-based edifice of anthropogenic warming had reached a skyscraper height...". Jaworowski noted how Neftel (1985) et. al. fraudulently combined the CO<sub>2</sub> values of 328 ppm from ice deposited in 1890 and combined it with 328 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> values measured at Mauna Loa volcano, Hawaii, 83 years later. This fraudulent data curve was then published in the 2001 IPCC report, and is now part of the dogma of the AGW crowd. The real data, 83 years apart, makes it shockingly clear that pre-industrial level of CO<sub>2</sub> was the same as in the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Because of these no difference in CO<sub>2</sub> levels over 83 years apart, Jaworowski believes that "Human beings may be responsible for less than 0.01<sup>o</sup> C of warming during the last century" and that AGW is a myth."

I close this paper with the title of Jaworowski's 2007 paper:

## **CO<sub>2</sub>: The Greatest Scandal of Our Time**

## References

- Archibald, David, (March, 2008) “Solar Cycle 24: Implications for the United States”, [david.archibald@westnet.com.au](mailto:david.archibald@westnet.com.au)
- Beck, Ernst-Georg, “180 years of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Gas Analysis by Chemical Methods” Energy & Environment, Vol 18, No 2, 2007
- Callendar, G. P. “On the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere,” Tellus 10: 243-48 1958
- Endersbee, L. “Global Climate Change has Natural Causes”, Science, March 7, 2008
- Idso, Sherwood B. “CO<sub>2</sub>-Induced Global Warming: A skeptics View of Potential Climate Change”, Climate Research, Vol. 10: 69-82, 1998
- Jaworowski, Z., “CO<sub>2</sub>: The Greatest Scientific Scandal of Our time” Science, March 16, 2007
- Jaworowski, Z., Segalstad, T.V. & Hisdal, V.; Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and Global Warming: a Critical Review, 2<sup>nd</sup> revised edition, *Norsk Polarinstitutt, Meddelelser* [Norwegian Polar Institute memoirs] 119, 76 pp. (1992 a)
- Jaworowski, Z., Segalstad, T.V. & Ono, N. Do glaciers tell a true atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> story? Science of the Total Environment 114 227-284 (1992 b)
- Keeling C. D., From, E., “Reassessment of late 19<sup>th</sup> century atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variations”, Tellus, 38B 87-105, 1986
- Kiehl & Trenberth, “Earth’s Annual Global Mean Energy Budget” Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. **78**, 197-208 1997
- Neftel A. et.al., “Evidence from polar ice cores for the increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in the past two centuries.” Nature, Vol. 315, pp. 45-47
- Revell, R & Suess, H. “Carbon dioxide exchange between atmosphere and ocean and the question of an increase of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> during past decades” Tellus 9, 18-27
- Segalstad, T.V., The Distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> between Atmosphere, Hydrosphere, and Lithosphere; Minimal Influence from Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> on the Global “Greenhouse Effect”, 1995, printed in “The Global Warming Debate”, European Science & Environmental Forum, 1996
- Segalstad, T.V., “Carbon Cycle modeling & Residence time of Natural & Anthropogenic Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>; on the Construction of the “Greenhouse Effect Global Warming Dogma”, 1998, printed in “Global Warming: The Continuing Debate”, ESEF, 1998

Segalstad, T.V., “What is CO<sub>2</sub>—friend or foe?” invited lecture KTH International Climate Seminar, Stockholm, Sept. 11, 2006

Soon & Baliunas, Proxy climatic and environmental changes in the past 1000 years, Climate Research, 23, 89-100, 2003


Van de Plassche & van der Borg, Sea Level-climate correlation during the past 1400 yr., Free university Amsterdam & Utrecht Univ., [http://www.fys.ruu.nl/-adejong/radiocarbondating/Sea-level-climate\\_correlation.htm](http://www.fys.ruu.nl/-adejong/radiocarbondating/Sea-level-climate_correlation.htm)

## Figures


### Record High Temperatures for Each Continent

<i><b>Continent</b></i>	<i><b>All-time High</b></i>	<i><b>Place</b></i>	<i><b>Date</b></i>
Africa	136	El Azizia, Libya	September 13, 1922
North America	134	Death Valley, CA	July 10, 1913
Asia	129	Tirat Tsvi, Israel	June 22, 1942
Australia	128	Cloncurry, Queensland	January 16, 1889
Europe	122	Seville, Spain	August 4, 1881
South America	120	Rivadavia, Argentina	December 11, 1905
Oceania	108	Tuguegarao, Philippines	April 29, 1912
Antarctica	59	Vanda Station, Scott Coast	January 5, 1974


**Figure 1 A**



**Fig. 1 State Record Temperature by Decades**



**Fig. 2 Total Solar Irradiance 1611 to 2001**



**Fig 3**






Fig. 4 Dependence of CO2 on Sea Surface Temperature




Fig.5 - The 'Hockey Stick'






Fig. 6 Biggest Temperature Drop in One Year?



**Fig. 7 Does CO2 Correlate With Air Temperature**





## Global Mean Temperatures and Sunspot Cycle Length




Fig. 8 Sunspot Cycle Length vs Temperature

The Armagh Observatory in Ireland has one of the longest continuous record of temperatures and they repeated the analysis and again showed a lock-step relationship.

<i>Continent</i>	<i>All-time High</i>	<i>Place</i>	<i>Date</i>
Africa	136	El Azizia, Libya	September 13, 1922
North America	134	Death Valley, CA	July 10, 1913
Asia	129	Tirat Tsvi, Israel	June 22, 1942
Australia	128	Cloncurry, Queensland	January 16, 1889
Europe	122	Seville, Spain	August 4, 1881
South America	120	Rivadavia, Argentina	December 11, 1905
Oceania	108	Tuguegarao, Philippines	April 29, 1912
Antarctica	59	Vanda Station, Scott Coast	January 5, 1974

Fig. 9 World Wide Record High Temperatures




Figure 11: Local CO<sub>2</sub> concentration for the northern hemisphere, determined through chemical analysis between 1812 and 1861. Data plotted as an 11 year average. Data coverage and important scientists indicated in dark grey/black. The curve delineates three major maxima in CO<sub>2</sub> content, though the one situated around 1820 must be treated as provisional only. Data series used: time window 1857–1873: 13 yearly averages, 83 until 1927 and up to 1961 41 data records (eleven interpolated).

**Fig. 10 Beck's CO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations from 1810**




Figure 13: The northern hemisphere 1942 CO<sub>2</sub> maximum, delineated by historical chemical analysis. Inclusive ice core data by Neftel et al. [33] and IPCC temperature for orientation.

**Fig. 11 Beck's CO<sub>2</sub> Data vs Ice Core Data**

