NOAA and NASA can be counted on virtually every month or year end to religiously and confidently proclaim that the latest global average surface temperature (GAST) is among the warmest on record. Back in the 1970s when an assessment of a global temperature was first attempted, the scientists recognized that even land-only surface temperature data was a significant challenge given that most of the reliable data was limited to populated areas of the U.S, Europe and eastern China with just spotty often intermittent data from vast land areas elsewhere.

Temperatures over oceans, which covered 71% of the globe, were measured along shipping routes mainly in the Northern Hemisphere erratically and with varying measurement methods. Despite these shortcomings and the fact that absolutely no credible grid level temperature data existed over the period from 1880 to 2000 in the Southern Hemisphere’s oceans (covering 80.9% of the Southern Hemisphere), global average surface temperature data estimation and publication by NOAA and NASA began in the early 1990s.

To illustrate the problem, on January 16, 2020, the WSJ published a lead article by Robert Lee Hotz stating: “NASA, NOAA ranked 2019 as the second-hottest year in tracking data to 1880. The world experienced near-record global temperatures in 2019, federal climate scientists said.” This claim was made despite the fact that absolutely no credible temperature data exists over this period for more than 40% of the planet (0.5*0.809 =0.4+).

After 2000, there were diving buoys. But when the best technology designed specifically for the purpose, the ARGO buoys, disappointed by showing no upward trend, the data from the buoys was “adjusted.” John Bates, data quality officer with NOAA admitted “They had good data from buoys...and “corrected” it by using the bad data from ships. You never change good data to agree with bad, but that’s what they did — so as to make it look as if the sea was warmer.” He retired after that change was made.

That was just the latest example of data manipulation. Initially, this global data had a cyclical pattern similar to previously reported Northern Hemisphere data (high in the 1930s and 40s, low in the 70s). Then, as time progressed, the previous officially reported GAST data history was modified, removing the cycle and creating a more and more strongly upward sloping linear trend in each freshly reported historical data set. Peer reviewed, published and readily reproducible research has shown that: “The conclusive findings were that the three GAST data sets are not a valid representation of reality.
In fact, the magnitude of their historical data adjustments, which removed their cyclical
temperature patterns are completely inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and
other temperature data. Thus, despite current assertions of record-setting warming, it is
impossible to conclude from the NOAA and NASA data sets that recent years have been
the warmest ever.”

Current climate policies – based on these unreliable temperature records – threaten our
economic and national security interests. As the proposed climate policies grow more
extreme, the consequences of allowing this record to remain unchallenged gravely
threatens an onslaught of litigation based on the greenhouse gas endangerment finding.
Importantly, this litigation imposes significant impediments to the mineral land leasing
and pipeline infrastructure build out necessary to maintain and enhance energy
independence and economic prosperity.

Furthermore, the US financial sector has already dramatically curtailed its support of
conventional energy source development in large part due to the continued calls for
regulatory destruction of the fossil fuel industry based substantially on NOAA and
NASA’s now invalidated global surface temperature records. This situation is putting our
Nation’s energy security at grave risk – which means our economic and national security
are also in great peril.