

## Extortion Attempts Continue At Climate Doom Conference

By Meteorologist Art Horn

Well they're at it again. The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change ([IPCC](#)) has concluded yet another in what seems to be an endless number of climate doom [conferences](#). This time it was held in Warsaw, Poland. Perhaps the IPCC's name should be changed to the IPCD (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Doom).

The conference claimed to have some significant achievements such as the [\\$280 million dollars pledged](#) by the combined United States, United Kingdom and Norway to help stop deforestation. Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation ([REDD](#)) is the UN's program to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from deforestation. I kid you not, the program is actually called REDD, remember? like hammer and sickle? You can't make this stuff up! The UN IPCC is using the threat of climate change caused by increasing carbon dioxide emission to coerce money from those who they believe are responsible for global warming. They are attempting to make policies that, if fully implemented, will serve to extort vast sums of money from developed nations.

The reason I make this claim is based on what leading members of the IPCC have said in the past. For example, three years ago in November of 2010, [Ottmar Edenhofer](#), co-chair of the IPCC working group three [said](#) "the climate summit in Cancun at the end of the month is not a climate conference, but one of the largest economic conferences since the second world war." Gee, and all the while I thought this was about stopping global warming, silly me!

Actually this was not news at the time. One of the most [significant demands](#) of the 2009 Copenhagen climate change conference was that "Developed countries promise to fund actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to adapt to the inevitable effects of climate change in developing countries." The key part of this statement is "Developed countries...adapt to the inevitable effects of climate change in developing countries." By "adapt" they are saying pay up. The statement goes on to say "Developed countries promise to provide US\$30 billion for the period 2010-2012 and to mobilize long term finance of a further US\$100 billion a year by 2020 from a variety of sources." That should get your attention.

In the 2010 interview, Ottmar Edenhofer was describing what the IPCC 's goal is. Since the assumed effects of climate change will be most severe on developing nations and since climate change has and is being caused by developed nations the UN IPCC demands that \$100 billion dollars a year be provided (extorted) from developed nations.

In order to [extort](#) money from someone or some company or nation the extorting party must have the means to force payment. In the case of the mob they would extort a certain amount of money from a business for a promise of "protection" from other gangsters. If

you decline the protection you end up sleeping with the fishes...dead. The UN IPCC is attempting to use climate guilt to extort payment.

The IPCC issues big reports at lavish meetings attended by thousands of people each year. The purpose is to impress everyone. They have concluded [with 95% confidence](#) that the developed nations are responsible for global warming. This climate change will have severe impacts especially on developing nations. Since these developing nations can't use fossil fuels to lift their people out of poverty, it is the developed nations responsibility to pay reparations to them for the wrong they have done. If the leaders of the developed nations are gullible enough to believe this claim they will (and are) in favor of making these payments.

Now, as a way to implement the extortion of money from the developed nations, the UN IPCC is proposing a new strategy. Instead of paying for global warming over the long haul, they want their money now. The idea is to have a legal document ratified by the members of the conference to make the United States and all other developed nations [pay for storm damage](#). No matter where a storm does damage and no matter what the cost the United States and other developed nations would foot the bill. Who would determine what storm was caused by climate change and which ones don't qualify? take a wild guess!

[Connie Hedegaard](#), EU commissioner for climate action [said](#) "We cannot have a system where there will be automatic compensation whenever severe weather events happen in one place or another around the planet." She's right! Such a ruling would be a disaster for the United States and others. Any nation could demand payment for virtually any weather event deemed caused by "climate change." Such a proposal could only be made by those looking for free money. That is exactly what the UN and all of these developing nations are looking for. It's not about saving the world from global warming, it's about taking money from those that have earned it and giving it to those that have not.

To paraphrase Apollo Astronaut [Walt Cunningham](#) "You can't reason with someone who believes in man made global warming because reason has nothing to do with how they arrived at their belief." The real reason behind man made global warming is to extort money from the developed world. The extortionist is the United Nations. Its troops on the ground to achieve this is the IPCC.