

The Real Worrisome Trend

By Joseph D'Aleo, CCM, AMS Fellow

My philosophy when I taught college was to teach my students how to think not what to think. As Socrates said *"Education is the kindling of a flame, not the filling of a vessel"*. I told my students that data was king and that models were only useful tools. Any model idea or any theory needed to be examined and validated using data and always used with caution.

The great Nobel laureate Physicist, Richard Feynman taught students "If a theory disagrees with experiment (or data), it's wrong... that simple statement is the key to science. It doesn't make any difference how beautiful your guess is, it doesn't matter how smart you are, or what your name is... If it disagrees with experiment, it's wrong." Einstein schooled his fellow scientists: "A model or a hypothesis cannot 'prove' anything. But data can invalidate a hypothesis or model. It takes only one experiment to prove me wrong".

The Greenhouse theory being used to change the world fails the test in many ways:

Dr. Patrick Moore, PhD Ecologist and co-founder of Greenpeace in US Senate Testimony on February 25, 2014 testified "When modern life evolved over 500 million years ago, CO₂ was more than 10 times higher than today, yet life flourished...then an Ice Age occurred 450 million years ago when CO₂ was 10 times higher than today."


A large team of unbiased climate scientists and I examined the three main tenets of the model-based science used by the EPA in justifying their regulations. We reported to the Supreme Court in a brief that each one has failed the test. There has been no warming for close to 19 years in the satellite and weather balloons measurements despite an increase in CO₂ of over 10%. The strong warming in all the climate models that is forecast in the tropical high atmosphere and the tropical oceans does not exist.

And finally even NOAA and the IPCC admitted there has been no trend in drought, flood, hurricanes, and tornadoes. Only snow has increased (which the models suggested would be the only extreme declining).

Still the EPA and NOAA and NASA, pressured by the administration, continues to use these models to predict a dire future and move us away from fossil fuels. And thanks to unprecedented funding of billions of dollars, the universities are gladly supporting this effort. This is something Eisenhower warned about in his Farewell address:


"The prospect of domination of the nation's scholars by Federal employment, project allocations, and the power of money is ever present – and is gravely to be regarded."

NOAA recently warned of an increase in heat waves and heat wave deaths. The reality is the heat peaked in the first half of the 20th century and has been declining. In fact 23 of the 50 states all time record highs occurred in the 1930s with 38 before 1960. There have been more all time cold records than heat records since the 1940s. The number of 95F days and widespread heat waves have been trending down since the 1930s.




Heat has declined since the 1930s

Number of State Record High & Low Temperatures by Decade



Dr. John Christy, US House Testimony and SCOTUS AMICUS brief

U.S. Annual Heat Wave Index 1895-2014 (EPA)



For every continent the all time heat records were set in the 1800s to mid 1900s. Totally ignored is the inconvenient fact that cold kills more people than heat. A rigorous study published last year in the medical journal Lancet examined more than 74 million deaths in 384 locations across 13 areas: cold countries like Canada and Sweden, temperate nations like Spain, South Korea and Australia, and subtropical and tropical ones like Brazil and Thailand. They found 20 times more people worldwide died from cold than from heat.

Writers of opinion pieces, or bloggers posting graphs showing us that temperatures are rising or ocean heat increasing are misleading you. This is not data but plots of data adjusted by models that make assumptions, many of them shown to be incorrect.

Quote by Chris Folland of UK Meteorological Office:

“The data don't matter. We're not basing our recommendations [for reductions in carbon dioxide emissions] upon the data. We're basing them upon the climate models.”


Quote by David Frame, climate modeler, Oxford University: “Rather than seeing models as describing literal truth, we ought to see them as convenient fictions which try to provide something useful.”

What's more these plots are done by the same organizations that are responsible for producing the model forecasts. The fox is running the hen house. The data has been changed to be almost unrecognizable from its original form. The 0.7 degree Celsius (1.3F) cooling from 1940 to the 1970s that had the world worried about an ice age has disappeared in these model-corrupted re-writes of history.

<https://youtu.be/5ndHwW8psR8> - Leonard Nimoy talks about global cooling in the late 1970s.

The claimed 1 degree warming (virtually all adjustments) needs to be put into perspective. On a daily basis here in southern New Hampshire, air temperatures change 30F degrees, monthly average temperatures vary over 50F from January to July and can vary as much as 125F in any given year.

If you plot on this scale the global temperature change from the source the UN trusted the most – the UK Hadley Center the claimed warming is virtually imperceptible and certainly not dangerous.



Who is pushing this agenda? The list is long and includes politicians and UN globalists (look up Agenda 21) seeking more power and control over every aspect of your life. Scientists, environmentalists, green NGOs and corporations are chasing the 1.5 trillion dollars/year that is feeding the green monster. The list also includes the scientifically illiterate cause seekers in Hollywood, eco-fanatics and population

control socialists that are all supported by the never questioning environmental journalists.

Many use the 'precautionary principle' to justify drastic action that perversely has truly drastic 'unintended consequences'. Eco-fanaticism has decimated Europe. In the past 10 years the price of electricity in Europe has climbed by an average of 63 percent. Polling indicates that [38 percent of British households are cutting back essential purchases](#), like food, to pay for high-energy bills. Another 59 percent of homes are worried about how they are going to pay energy bills when the Paris accord is enforced.

<http://dailycaller.com/2016/03/25/how-the-poor-bear-the-brunt-of-europes-obsession-with-global-warming/>

You may not realize it but we in the 'blue' northeast pay the highest electricity prices in the nation – twice that of some other states. The changes the EPA and this administration are pushing could double the rates. The money we saved on gasoline and heating oil this year, thanks to fracking and drilling on private land, was welcomed and the only 'raise' many of us got in many a year. That too will be a memory, if the EPA's plans are not blocked by the courts.

Highest Electricity Prices	
	Cents/Kwh
Connecticut	19.86
Massachusetts	19.34
Rhode Island	18.41
New Hampshire	18.00
California	17.76
Maine	17.66
Vermont	16.62
New York	16.54
New Jersey	15.45

Dixy Lee Ray, former liberal Democrat governor of Washington State saw the Paris accord coming many years ago: *"The future is to be (One) World Government with central planning by the United Nations. Fear of environmental crises - whether real or not – is expected to lead to – compliance"*

IPCC official Ottmar Edenhofer in November 2010 admitted *"one has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy." Instead, climate change policy is about how "we redistribute de facto the world's wealth..."*

UN Climate Chief Christiana Figueres last year said *“Our aim is not to save the world from ecological calamity but to change the global economic system (destroy capitalism).”*

On top of all of that is this truly scary development. The Obama administrations Justice Department is now seriously considering prosecuting companies, organizations and individuals who dare to think independently and refute their claims that global warming is the greatest danger of all to our future wellbeing. That sounds a lot more like pre-world war two Germany than the United States of America.

Now that is a trend we should worry about.