# **'GLOBAL WARMING' IS NO GLOBAL CRISIS**

## Major talking points



## **'GLOBAL WARMING' IS NO GLOBAL CRISIS**

#### Major talking points

by Christopher Monckton | June 25, 2009

#### The warming effect of greenhouse gases is less than one-tenth the UN's central estimate.

Spencer *et al.* (2008, cloud albedo); Douglass (2008, tropical mid-troposphere temperature change); Lindzen & Choi (2009 in press, outgoing long-wave radiation); and Armstrong, Green & Soon (2009 in press, zero-change benchmarking of climate forecasts) empirically confirm theoretical demonstrations (Schwartz, 2007; Monckton, 2008; Monckton & Evans, 2009 in draft) that climate sensitivity – the warming effect of *all* greenhouse gases, not just of  $CO_2$  – is *less than one-fourth* of the UN's current central estimate. A  $CO_2$  doubling would cause just 1.5 F° warming, not the 5.9 F° imagined by the UN.

#### 4 'Global warming' is nothing new.

It was 10 F° warmer than today in each of the past four interglacial periods; 2-3 F° warmer for most of the past 10,000 years; warmer in the Minoan, medieval, and Roman warm periods. The *rate* of warming is nothing new either: the warming rate equivalent to 2.9 F°/century from 1975-1998, when humankind *might* have had a *small* influence, was exactly the same as the warming rates from 1860-1880 and from 1910-1940 (House of Lords Written Answer, 2009).

#### There has been no statistically-significant 'global warming' for almost 15 years.

In fact, for almost eight years, on all measures, there has been *global cooling* at 3.4 F°/century. Oceans have also been cooling ever since 3300 automated bathythermographs were deployed in 2005. The ocean cooling definitively proves the UN wrong about "global warming": if there were any, 80% of it would *have* to show up in the top 400 fathoms of the world's oceans, but *it is not happening*. It follows that *all* recent reports that "global warming" has caused adverse weather events must be incorrect, because there has not been any. The UN's central estimate, on its "business-as-usual" scenario, is for 6 F° warming in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, but in the 30 years since accurate satellite temperatures became available in 1980 the warming rate has averaged just 2.7 F°/century – less than half the UN's prediction.

# ♣ CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is rising at less than half the UN's predicted rate.

The UN's central estimate is that CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will grow exponentially to reach 836 parts per million by volume this century, but in fact it is growing linearly towards just 575 ppm. This factor alone demands a halving of all UN temperature predictions. Methane concentration stopped rising in 2000 and has hardly changed since.

#### + Contrary to reports, the climate is doing just fine.

| Global Sea-ice Extent                     | A steady heartbeat for 30 years.   |
|---|--|
| Arctic Sea Ice                            | Normal in winter, down a little in recent summers, but well within natural variability.  |
| Arctic Temperature                        | Warmer in the 1930s and early 1940s than today.  |
| North-West Passage                        | Amundsen sailed through it in 1903. It was also open in the mid~1940s.   |
| Greenland                                 | Mean ice-sheet thickness grew by 2 in/yr from 1993-2003 (Johannessen et al., 2005).  |
| Polar Bears                               | Population up fivefold since the 1940s.  |
| Antarctic Sea Ice                         | Growing for 30 years.  |
| Antarctic<br>Temperature                  | Little change in 50 years.   |
| Antarctic Peninsula                       | Ice-shelves about 1/55 the area of Texas have gone, but were not there in the Middle Ages.   |
| Sahara Desert                             | Greening so fast that 300,000 km <sup>2</sup> has become vegetated, allowing nomadic tribes to settle where they haven't been seen in living memory.                         |
| Droughts and Floods                       | Variable as usual.   |
| Hurricanes and Other<br>Tropical Cyclones | Lowest activity for 30 years.  |
| Sea Level                                 | Rising at 1 ft/century since satellite measurements began in 1993, compared with average 4 ft/century over the past 10,000 years. No sea-level rise in the last three years. |
| UN High-end Forecast                      | Slashed from 3ft to <2ft sea-level rise by 2100: UN best current estimate 1 ft 5 in.   |
| Bangladesh                                | Has gained 70,000 km <sup>2</sup> land area confounding UN sea-level forecasts.  |
| Pacific Atolls                            | Not at risk: corals can grow towards the light at 10x the rate of sea-level rise, which is why so many atolls are just above sea level.                                      |
| Maldives                                  | No sea-level rise in 1250 years (Morner, 2004).  |

#### + Ocean acidification is a scientific impossibility.

Henry's Law mandates that warming oceans will outgas CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere (as the UN's own documents predict it will), making the oceans *less* acid. Also, more CO<sub>2</sub> would increase calcification rates. No comprehensive, reliable measurement of worldwide oceanic acid/base balance has ever been carried out: therefore, there is no observational basis for the computer models' guess that acidification of 0.1 pH units has occurred in recent decades.

#### There is no economic case for costly measures to mitigate greenhouse-gas emissions.

To prevent 1 F° of warming, 1-10 *trillion* tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emission would have to be foregone – the equivalent of shutting down the *entire* US economy for 170-1700 years. The Waxman/Markey Climate Bill would cost \$160 billion/year (White House estimate) and, even if implemented fully, would cool the climate by just 0.0005-0.005 F°/yr. Secretary Chu's grand plan to paint the world white would cool the climate 0.2 F° at the very most, at a cost of \$200 *trillion*.

## • Overtaxing & overregulating US fossil-fuel industries would *increase* the world carbon footprint.

Not that the carbon footprint matters (see point 1). However, if the US kills its own fossil-fuel industry, US corporations and jobs will move to China and other third-world economies, where carbon emissions per unit of output are higher than in the US. China and India will *not* be cutting their emissions.



