Do We Trust the Science?

We have been lectured to 'trust the science' ever changing throughout the COVID threats. Increasingly evidence grows that the 'science' was made up as it goes and used as a cudgel for political ends including power and policy advancement.

The same has been seen in recent decades as the environmentalists and governments sought to build the case to demonize carbon dioxide and fossil fuels. It started in the 1990s. Ben Santer was appointed the convening Lead-author of Chapter 8 of the 1995 IPCC Report titled "Detection of Climate Change and Attribution of Causes." In that position, Santer created the first clear example of the IPCC manipulation of science for a political agenda. He used his position to establish the headline that humans were a factor in global warming by altering the meaning of what was agreed by the committee as a whole at the draft meeting in Madrid.

The scientists in the draft agreed "None of the studies cited above has shown clear evidence that we can attribute the observed [climate] changes to the specific cause of increases in Greenhouse gases."

Santer as Lead Author replaced it with "There is evidence of an emerging pattern of climate response to forcing by greenhouse gases and sulfate aerosol... from the geographical, seasonal and vertical patterns of temperature change... These results point toward a human influence on global climate."

It was just a start of central planning and control.

"The future is to be [One] World Government with central planning by the United Nations. Fear of environmental crises - whether real or not - is expected to lead to compliance."

- Former Washington State Democratic Governor Dixy Lee Ray

"Urgent and unprecedented environmental and social changes challenge scientists to define a new social contract...a commitment on the part of all scientists to devote their energies and talents to the most pressing problems of the day, in proportion to their importance, in exchange for public funding."

-NOAA's Administrator Jane Lubchenko, when she was president of AAAS in 1999

'Our aim is not to save the world from ecological calamity but to change the global economic system... This is probably the most difficult task we have ever given ourselves, which is to intentionally transform the economic development model, for the first time in human history." In simpler terms, replace free enterprise, entrepreneurial capitalism with UN-controlled centralized, One World government and economic control."

- UN Climate Chief Christiana Figueres

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"One has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy. "It is not. It is actually about how "we redistribute de facto the world's wealth."

- IPCC official Ottmar Edenhofer

The mainstream media and big tech and government agencies that would benefit are fully on board. Virtually every month and year we see stories in the once reliable media and from formerly unbiased data centers that proclaim the warmest such period in the entire record back to 1895 or earlier (often 1850). They claim the warming due to greenhouse gases is causing more extremes of weather and more deaths.

In the ADDENDUM to the Research Report entitled: On the Validity of NOAA, NASA and Hadley CRU Global Average Surface Temperature Data & The Validity of EPA's CO2 Endangerment Finding, Abridged Research Report, Dr. James P. Wallace III, Dr. (Honorary) Joseph S. D'Aleo, Dr. Craig D. Idso, June 2017 (<a href="here">here</a> provided ample evidence that the Global Average Surface Temperature (GAST) data was invalidated for use in climate modeling and for any other climate change policy analysis purpose.

"The conclusive findings of this research are that the three Global Average Surface Temperature data sets are not a valid representation of reality. In fact, the magnitude of their historical data adjustments, that removed their cyclical temperature patterns, are totally inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and other temperature data. Thus, it is impossible to conclude from the three published GAST data sets that recent years have been the warmest ever — despite current claims of record setting warming.

That is made even more true given that 71% of the earth's surface is ocean and the only ocean data prior to the satellite era began in the 1970s was limited to ship routes mainly near land in the northern hemisphere. According to <u>overseers</u> of the instrumental temperature data, the Southern Hemisphere record is "mostly made up". This is due to an extremely limited number of available measurements both historically and even presently from Antarctica to the equatorial regions.

**In 1981**, NASA's James Hansen et al reported that "Problems in obtaining a global temperature history are due to the uneven station distribution, with the Southern Hemisphere and ocean areas poorly represented," - - - - (Science, 28 August 1981, Volume 213, Number 4511(link))

In 1978, the New York Times reported there was too little temperature data from the Southern Hemisphere to draw any reliable conclusions. The report, prepared by German, Japanese and American specialists, appeared in the Dec. 15 issue of Nature, the British journal and stated that "Data from the Southern Hemisphere, particularly south of latitude 30 south, are so meager that reliable conclusions are not possible," the report says. "Ships travel on well-established routes so that vast areas of ocean, are simply not traversed by ships at all, and even those that do, may not return weather data on route."

**In 1989**, the New York Times admitted the US data released from NOAA filed to show a warming trend since 1895. Even in 1999, the temperature still trailed 1934 - James Hansen noted

"The U.S. has warmed during the past century, but the warming hardly exceeds year-to-year variability. Indeed, in the U.S. the warmest decade was the 1930s and the warmest year 1934."

This finding was amplified recently by MIT graduate <u>Dr. Mototaka Nakamura</u> in a 2020 book on "the sorry state of climate science" titled Confessions of a climate scientist: the global warming hypothesis is an unproven hypothesis.

He wrote: "The supposed measuring of global average temperatures from 1890 has been based on thermometer readouts barely covering 5 per cent of the globe until the satellite era began 40-50 years ago. We do not know how global climate has changed in the past century, all we know is some limited regional climate changes, such as in Europe, North America and parts of Asia."

Above we have shown in their own words how the indoctrination of the world on the alleged perils of global warming evolved.

My philosophy when I taught college was to show my students how to think - not what to think. As Socrates said, "Education is the kindling of a flame, not the filling of a vessel." I told my students that data is king, and models are only useful tools. Any model's output or any theory needed to be examined and validated using data, and must always used with caution.

Claims about drastic runaway warming and increasing extremes have been fact checked and debunked <u>here</u>.

The world is not ready for the so called renewables and we are seeing clear global evidence that the push away from clean natural gas and oil and nuclear has started a super inflation era that is already hurting all the world's businesses and people. To save our society, we must reemploy the 'scientific method' – which should be data not model driven.